

Cphbusiness Sørerne, 12.04.16

## Minutes, Annual General Meeting

---

### Agenda

1. Election of moderator
  2. Election of referent
  3. The boards' report
  4. Budget and financial reporting
  5. Auditors' observations of the accounts
  6. Approval of financial statements
  7. Approval of amendments to the formalities
  8. Election of board members and alternates
  9. Election of auditor(s)
  10. Member topics
  11. Optional
- 

## Minutes

The meeting started at 16:30.

28 people were present and 24 people of them had voting right.

### Welcome

- a. Kirsten D. Hansen (Chairman of the board of directors) welcomed everybody to the Annual General Meeting (AGM) and she informed all participants of the agenda. The agenda, statues and financial report have been provided for all attendees.

### 1.Election of moderator

- b. Charlotte D. Petersen volunteered herself as moderator.

- Everyone supported the suggestion.

## **2. Election of referent**

- a. Tanja Green Olander volunteered herself as referent.
  - Everyone supported the suggestion.

## **3. The boards' report**

- a. Kirsten D. Hansen announced that there are currently no president or vice president in the student organisation at the moment. Charlotte D. Petersen is not the president, but she functions as one. Charlotte is a Cphbusiness employee representative in the board of director and the co-founder of the student organisation.

## **4. Budget and financial reporting**

- a. Kirsten D. Hansen introduced this section.
  - There were no protests for this section.
- b. There has been a lack of index from the student organisation. This is regarding to the year 2015. The accounts are not valid due to missing evidence of buying. The student organisation's management has already acted on this and is establishing rules.

## **5. Auditors' observations of the accounts**

- a. Kirsten presented the observations and mentioned the actions and initiatives that have been launched to avoid a similar situation again.

## **6. Approval of financial statements**

- a. 1<sup>st</sup> vote: 21 approved, 0 not approved  
There was insecurity about the voting part so a revote was suggested and agreed on.
- b. 2<sup>nd</sup> vote: Everyone approved the financial statements.

- c. A question from an active member was asked: Will we get the 200.000 DKK?

Charlotte D. Petersen informed that we will get the 200.000 DKK from Cphbusiness in 2016, but the student organisation will get the 100,000 DKK in advance due to our current financial situation.

## **7. Approval of amendments to the formalities**

### **Suggestion for: § 4. Subsection 3**

- Vote: Everyone approved

### **Suggestion for: § 4. Subsection 6**

- Vote: Everyone approved

### **Suggestion for: § 4 Subsection 10.**

- a. Charlotte D. Petersen asked the members to please read the rest of this section before voting.
- Vote: Everyone approved.

### **Suggestion for: § 4 subsection 11**

- a. This paragraph is about the president's daily tasks. The board of directors will handle this due to the amendments to §4 Subsection 10.
- Vote: Everyone approved to deleting section § 4 subsection 11.

### **Suggestion for: § 5. Subsection 2**

- a. Vote: Everyone approved.

### **Suggestion for deleting § 6. Business and activities**

- a. Charlotte D. Petersen informed that the amendment was based on the fact that the student organisation so far has not been cable of running a separate

- business. It is possible to add the paragraph to the formalities later on, but right now this paragraph doesn't make sense for the student organisation.
- b. The consequences of deleting the paragraph were discussed among the members. It was also discussed if it was even legal for the student organisation to run a company.
  - c. The following was put to a vote: "Should the management investigate the legal aspect about this paragraph- is this paragraph legal?"
    - Vote: Everyone Approved

### **Suggestion for: § 7 Subscription right**

- a. Charlotte D. Petersen informed that this section is about making the student organisation less vulnerable if the financial responsible person leaves. The problem here is that the former president was the only one who had access to the bank account. So when she left nobody had access to the bank account. There needs to be more than one person with access to the bank account. If three people have access there will be a better security to avoid mistakes and fraud. This does not mean that the three people are the only ones deciding what to spend, but it is regarding the legal responsibility of the bank account. At the moment the student organisation needs to go physically to the bank in order to pay the bills.
- b. Ulla Trolle (external board member) suggested that there should be a limit for the amount that each person can approve on his or her own. The treasurer, the chairman and the vice chairman should sign this.
- c. It was decided to put the following g to a vote: If a certain amount for expenses is exceeded it will need approval.
  - Vote: Everyone approved.
- d. It was put to a vote who should decide the amount limit.
  - Vote:
    - The entire board of directors' will decide: 21 votes
    - The chairman, treasurer and the vice president: 4 votes

- e. The amendment to §7 Subscription right including the decision on the amount limit was put to a vote:
- Vote: Everyone approved.

**Suggestion for: § 8 subsection 1:**

- a. The financial statement has been made from Summer to Summer instead of Winter to Winter, as the formalities say. The suggestion is that we should follow the school year, Summer to Summer.
- Vote: Everyone approved.

**Suggestion for: § 8 subsection 4.**

- Vote: Everyone approved.

**Suggestion for addition of a Subsection 5 to § 8**

- Vote: Everyone approved.

Since §6 was not deleted there will not be amendments to §9, §10 and §11.

**8. Election of board members and alternates**

- a. Kirsten D. Hansen informed that there were six candidates for the board and four candidates for alternates, which means that there are missing three board members and one alternative.

The candidates gave a short presentation of themselves and why they wanted to be candidates. Helene Borgstrøm was not present therefore Stine Johansen, Head of Communication, spoke on her behalf. Sebastian P. Laursen was not here. Sebastian Holmegaard spoke on his behalf.

b. Result of the vote: Candidates for the board members:

Helene Borgstrøm:	23 yes	1 no
Tanja Green Olander:	23 yes	1no
Barbora Kocklicova:	20 yes	4 no
Sebastian Holmegaard:	24 yes	0 no

Lujza Grossanova:	20 yes	4 no
Bartlomiej Gozdek:	22 yes	2 no

c. Result of the vote: Candidates for the alternates:

Luca Adriano Dalessandro:	24 yes	0 no
Gabriella Ploug:	18 yes	6 no
Sebastian Pilgaard Laursen:	24 yes	0 no
Maria Agerlin Blicher:	20 yes	4 no

d. The following suggestion was put to a vote: "The new board members and alternates find and elect the last board members and alternates."

- Vote: Everyone approved.

## 9. Election of auditor(s)

- a. Vote: Everyone approved.

## 10. Member topics

- a. No member topics were received before the meeting.

## 11. Optional

- a. No topics were raised in the meeting.

The annual general meeting ended at 18:00.